



Department of Petroleum Engineering  
PE-410 Petroleum Engineering Project  
**RUBRICS FOR FYP FINAL REPORT**



<b>Department</b>	Petroleum Engineering	<b>Batch</b>	
<b>Student Name</b>		<b>Course Code</b>	PE-410
<b>Name of Internal / External Supervisors</b>		<b>Course Title</b>	Petroleum Engineering Project
<b>Student Roll No.</b>		<b>Project Title</b>	
<b>Group No</b>		<b>Semester</b>	

S. No.	Assessment Outcomes	Marking Criteria			
		3	2	1	0
1	<b>Abstract (PLO 10)</b>	Abstract is excellently written according to the scientific writing standards.	Abstract provides a reasonable description of the project but can be improved.	Abstract written in an ordinary way with many flaws.	Abstract written in a poor way. The important results are not clear.
2	<b>Literature Review (PLO 1)</b>	Necessary literature review is presented accurately according to the scientific writing standard.	Presented literature review has some flaws.	Significant weaknesses in presented background literature.	No literature presented/literature review or is written in a poorly way.
3	<b>Methodology (PLO 3)</b>	Accurately apply all required engineering procedures to solve the required problem.	Apply most of the required engineering procedures to solve the required problem.	Apply only limited engineering procedures to solve the required problem.	No steps performed
4	<b>Results, Discussion and Recommendations (PLO 12)</b>	All results were effectively presented and properly explained with excellent suggestion for future work.	Some of the results were not presented and explained/ some mistakes in discussion and good suggestion for future work.	Majority of the results were not presented and explained/ described explanation had major short comings and poor suggestion for future work.	No/ incorrect explanations presented and no suggestion for future research.
5	<b>References (PLO 8)</b>	All citations are presented in proper format.	The majority of citations are presented in proper format.	Limited citations are presented in proper format.	No citations are presented in proper format.
6	<b>Similarity Index (PLO 8)</b>	Similarity index is less than 10%.	Similarity index between 10% and 15%.	Similarity index between 15% and 20%.	Similarity index is greater than 20%.
7	<b>Report Formatting (PLO 10)</b>	Report formatting is as per provided guidelines.	Report formatting is mostly as per provided guidelines with only some mistakes.	Only few provided guidelines were followed.	No guidelines followed.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name & Signature of Evaluator